

# **Sustainable Street Lighting Scrutiny Sub- Committee**

21<sup>st</sup> June 2006

Report of the Head of Civic, Democratic and Legal Services

Draft Final Report: Street Lighting - Strategic Management & Procurement to Reduce Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Emissions and Waste.

# **Summary**

- Members of the Sustainable Street Lighting Scrutiny Sub-Committee are presented with the draft final report of the Environment and Sustainability Scrutiny Board delivering their research and findings regarding approaches Local Authorities might take to delivering more sustainable street lighting.
- 2. Members of the Sub-Committee are recommended to:
  - consider the content, format and recommendations held in this report
  - agree, subject to any amendments, its submission to Scrutiny Management Committee (SMC) in line with their decision to complete outstanding scrutiny topics as a matter of urgency.

# **Background**

Between 2005

- 3. Between 2005-2006 Scrutiny<sup>1</sup> at the City of York Council advanced the development more robust and holistic strategic approaches to delivering carbon reduction and energy sourcing across all sectors of the Council's work bar transportation fuel.
- 4. In January 2006 Members of the Environment and Sustainability Board chose to progress a registered topic regarding sustainable street lighting. The street lighting topic was considered by the Environment and Sustainability Scrutiny Board to be the next significant area for Scrutiny recommendations supporting the authority to monitor, manage and achieve carbon savings.
- 5. The report at Annex A presents their findings and recommendations at completion of their review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Through work of the Boards: Environment and Sustainability, Housing and, Planning and Transport

## Consultation

- 6. During the course of this scrutiny, the Regional Energy Policy Manager was consulted through correspondence regarding region wide and national exemplars for presentation to the Board. The Energie Cites data base was referred to, to establish examples of Europe wide best practice.
- 7. City of York Council (CYC) Officer Ricky Watson (Street Lighting), acted as the boards principal support for base line data, including that in Annex A of the attached report, and also met with a sub-group of the Board to help answer further enquiries. Officers Kristina Peat and Julian Horsler submitted early information regarding the sustainability and equalities aspects of the topic to the Board for their feasibility report.

# **Options**

- 8. **Either:** To approve the findings and recommendations of the Board in the report at Annex A
- 9. **Or:** To recommend no change to the authority's operations and approach to street lighting at this time

# **Analysis**

- 10. The report at Annex A was drafted in line with;
  - a. the objectives of the topic registration as lodged (see Annex B of the attached draft final report)
  - b. findings of the board regarding the advice of the feasibility consultees (see above under 'Consultation')
  - c. the boards gap analysis of authority base line data for this area revealed through completion of the street lighting section of the Regional Assemblies Energy Champions Questionnaire (see Annex A of the attached draft final report.

# **Corporate Objectives**

- 11. The Scrutiny fits with the aims of the following Corporate Objectives
  - 'Corporate Aim 1: Take Pride in the City, by improving quality and sustainability, creating a clean and safe environment.' With particular reference to:
  - 1.2. Protect and enhance the built and green environment that makes York unique.
  - 1.3. Make getting around York easier, more reliable and less damaging to the environment.

- 1.4. Protect residents and our environment from pollution and other public health and safety hazards and act as role model in the sustainable use of resources.
- 12. Analysis is also given in the Glossary of the report at Annex A regarding the Scrutiny's relationship with the Comprehensive Performance Assessment (CPA) framework.

# **Implications**

- 13. There are no known implications in relation to the following at this stage of the draft final report:
  - Finance
  - Human Resources (HR)
  - Equalities
  - Legal
  - Crime and Disorder
  - Information Technology (IT)
  - Property
  - Other

# **Risk Management**

14. There are no risk management implications associated with the draft final report at this stage.

### Recommendations

- 15. Members of the Sub-Committee are recommended to:
  - i. Consider the content, format and recommendations held in this report
  - ii. Agree, subject to any amendments, its submission to SMC in line with their decision to complete outstanding scrutiny topics as a matter of urgency.

#### Reason

16. To facilitate completion of the former Environment and Sustainability Board's outstanding work.

#### **Contact Details**

Author: Author's name: Ruth Sherratt

Title: Scrutiny Officer

**Dept Name:** Scrutiny Services

Tel No. 01904 552066

Chief Officer Responsible for the report:

Chief Officer's name: Suzan Hemingway
Title: Head of Civic, Legal and Democratic

Services

**Report Approved** 

✓

**Date** 13/06/2006

# **Specialist Implications Officer(s)**

None

Wards Affected: List wards or tick box to indicate all

All √

 $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$ 

For further information please contact the author of the report

## **Background Papers:**

Draft Final Report: Street Lighting - Strategic Management / Procurement to Reduce CO2 Emissions and Waste.

And as listed in the draft final report at Annex A.

#### **Annexes**

**Annex A** Draft Final Report: Street Lighting - Strategic Management & Procurement to Reduce CO2 Emissions and Waste.